



REX2024
PRCI Research Exchange

In-Situ Measurement of Fracture Toughness using the Planing-Induced Microfracture Method

Simon Bellemare
San Diego, California
February 27, 2024



Pipeline Research Council International

PRCI Led Innovation on NDE for Pipe Toughness

2

Including

PR-335-173816-R01 Validation of In-Situ Methods for Material Property Determination

Author(s) Scott Riccardella, Jason Van Velsor, Aaron Dinovitzer, Bill Amend
Research Contractor Structural Integrity Associates, Inc., BMT Fleet Technology Limited, DNV Inc. (research contractor)
Release Date: 09/14/2018
Number Of Pages 398
Catalog No: PR-335-173816-R01
DOI No: <https://doi.org/10.55274/R0011521>

PR-610-183867-R01 Fracture Toughness via In-ditch Non-destructive Testing - Validation

Author(s) Steven Palkovic, Yasamin Salamat, Brendon Willey, Simon Bellemare
Research Contractor Massachusetts Materials Technologies LLC - 610
Release Date: 09/08/2020
Number Of Pages 94
Catalog No: PR-610-183867-R01
DOI No: <https://doi.org/10.55274/R0011802>


Published Pipe Toughness NDE Correlations

3

NDE 4-8

NDE-4C Model


(Hardness + micrographs + chemistry)



NDE 2-9

Regression Models

($NDTT \delta_{chip} + r_{cup} + AI$)




It has no
Been Easy...
(Low TRL)


Unresolved Challenge with NDTT

4

Expected: Shear Zone




Recent Modeling Research on Cutting
(U. of Central Florida, Texas A&M, etc.)




MMT Technology Pivot

5

2015: Micromachining




2022: Planing-Induced Microfracture



Planing-Induced Microfracture


6




- **Material** is stretched and then it fractures
- There is a fractured ligament on both sides that protrudes from the cutting plane

Planing-Induced Microfracture in Action

7



High Pipe Toughness



Lower Pipe Toughness




General Learning Moment 1

8

In Elasto-Plastic
Contact Mechanics,

What Does Steady State Means?


No Longer Path Dependent




Proof of Concept for the Method

9


Step #1: Introduce microfracture



Step #2 Scan Ligaments



Step #3 Assemble



Blade Travel Distance

Step #4: Physical Model

10

- According to Oh [1], there is a correlation between the fracture toughness (K_{Ic}) and the toughness measured using the area under the tensile stress-strain curve up to the elongation at break (K_f):

$$(K_{Ic}/\sigma_y)^2 = \alpha (K_f/\sigma_y)^2$$

- K_f can be estimated using the yield strength, ultimate tensile strength (σ_u), and elongation at break (ε_f):

$$K_f \approx \varepsilon_f [k\sigma_y + (1 - k)\sigma_u], \quad 0 < k < 1$$

- Hypothesis: the ligament height (LH) is linearly proportional to the elongation at break considering the material within the stretch passage is subjected to predominantly tensile stress and stretched to failure:

$$\varepsilon_f = a * LH + b$$



- Proposed correlation between K_{Ic} and ligament height:

$$K_{Ic}/\sigma_y = C_1 * [k + (1 - k)\sigma_u/\sigma_y] * LH + C_2/\sigma_y + C_3$$

[1] Oh, Gyoko. "A simplified toughness estimation method based on standard tensile data." International Journal of Pressure Vessels and Piping 199 (2022): 104733.

Validation Results


11




General Learning Moment 2

12

The direct measurement: Fatigue pre-cracked





Indirect measurement: Impact Energy



Benchmark Comparison


X-Axis = Direct Measurement

Using same pipe samples




The Blade Toughness Meter (BTM) Prototype

14



Field Prototype 2024 Q2


A. Surface prep:
island making





Test in Action

15

B. Island Removal





C. Ligament Analysis



>>> BTM Report (~Strain to Failure)



Field Implementation: Two Complementary Tools

In-Field Test Matrix



ERW Seam Testing with the Current HSD

17



Technology Status


18

- BTM is approximated twice as accurate as NDTT.
- Preliminary result from a validation test of 30 vintage pipe samples shows an average predicted K value within $\pm 20\%$ of the average tested value.
 - Typical practice of taking lowest value of 3 measurements to be discussed.
- A prototype unit is developed and will be used in upcoming additional validation work and field trials.
- HSD and BTM are complementary tools for a complete solution.
- The HSD today (field procedures) includes the ability to receive a report for the 85% shear transition temperature & conservative upper shelf.

Concluding Remarks

19

- General Learning Moment 3: fracture toughness and material strength are two different properties
- In-situ minimally invasive tests to determine pipe toughness is becoming available.
- It is a considerable and collaborative effort.
- Many opportunities to engage in 2024: Industry validation programs, PHMSA BAA, field pilot projects.



Thank you



Simon Bellemare
CEO, MMT

617-868-0395

s.bellemare@bymmt.com